Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 5.547 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.049$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -3.847 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$wR(F^2) = 0.130$	Extinction correction:
S = 0.952	SHELXL97 (Sheldrick,
1533 reflections	1997a)
44 parameters	Extinction coefficient:
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0614P)^2]$	$2.1(7) \times 10^{-4}$
where $P = (F_{0}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$	Scattering factors from
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$	International Tables for
(/ - / mus ) =	Crystallography (Vol. C)

## Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalentisotropic displacement parameters ( $Å^2$ )

 $U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U^{ij} a^i a^j \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$ 

	x	у	z	$U_{eq}$
Bal	0.22205 (19)	3/4	0.37368 (9)	0.0111 (3
Ba2	0.25836 (13)	0.95429 (8)	0.63202 (6)	0.0113 (2
Та	0.66206 (12)	3/4	0.54470 (6)	0.0067 (2
As1	0.2338 (2)	0.44439 (14)	0.38341 (11)	0.0122 (3
As2	0.2240 (3)	3/4	0.13505 (15)	0.0104 (4
0	0.386 (2)	3/4	0.5541 (10)	0.008 (2)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Bal—O	2.669 (14)	Ba2—As1 <sup>iv</sup>	3.316 (2)
Ba1—As2	3.216 (3)	Ba2—As1 <sup>iii</sup>	3.390 (2)
Ba1—As2 <sup>i</sup>	3.350 (3)	Ba2-As1 <sup>v</sup>	3.422 (2)
Ba1—As2 <sup>ii</sup>	3.376 (3)	Ba2—As1 <sup>vi</sup>	3.537 (2)
$Ba1 - As1 (\times 2)$	3.3920 (17)	TaO	1.861 (14)
Ba2—O	2.640(7)	$Ta - As2^{ii} (\times 2)$	2.458 (2)
Ba2—As2 <sup>iii</sup>	3.2812 (11)	Ta—Asl <sup>v</sup>	2.4647 (16)
O-Ta-As2 <sup>ii</sup>	103.7 (4)	Ta—O—Ba2	110.6 (3)
O-Ta-Asl <sup>v</sup>	104.87 (19)	Ba2 <sup>vi</sup> —O—Ba2	118.2 (5)
As2 <sup>ii</sup> —Ta—As1 <sup>v</sup>	109.83 (5)	Ta—O—Bal	110.5 (6)
As1 <sup>v</sup> —Ta—As1 <sup>vii</sup>	121.97 (8)	Ba2-O-Bal	103.2 (3)
Symmetry codes: (i) $x$	$-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2}$	$-z$ ; (ii) $\frac{1}{2} + x$ , $\frac{3}{2} - y$ ,	$\frac{1}{2} - z;$ (iii)

Symmetry codes. (i)  $x = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} = y, \frac{1}{2} = z$ ; (ii)  $\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} = y, \frac{1}{2} = z$ ; (iii)  $\frac{1}{2} = x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} + z$ ; (iv)  $-x, \frac{1}{2} + y, 1 - z$ ; (v)  $1 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, 1 - z$ ; (vi)  $x, \frac{3}{2} - y, z$ ; (vii) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z.

In the final difference Fourier map, the highest electron-density peak was found 0.8 Å from the Ta atom and the deepest hole was found 0.9 Å from the Ba1 atom.

Data collection: CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1989). Cell refinement: CAD-4 Software. Data reduction: local program. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997b). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997a). Molecular graphics: ATOMS (Dowty, 1993) and ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976).

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BR1254). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

#### References

- Dhamelincourt, P., Barbillat, J. & Delhaye, M. (1993). Spectrosc. Eur. 5, 16–26.
- Dowty, E. (1993). ATOMS. A Computer Program for Displaying Atomic Structures. Shape Software, 521 Hidden Valley Road. Kingsport, TN 37663, USA.
- Enraf-Nonius (1989). CAD-4 Software. Version 5. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, the Netherlands.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Monconduit, L., Tillard, M., Favier, F. & Belin, C. (1999). J. Alloys Compds, 284, 124-127.

© 1999 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. University of Cambridge, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997a). SHELXL97. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997b). SHELXS97. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sportouch, S., Belin, C. & Tillard-Charbonnel, M. (1994). Acta Cryst. C50, 1861-1862.
- Tillard, M., Monconduit, L., Traber, B. & Belin, C. (1999). Acta Cryst. C55, 833-835.
- Wang, Y., Calvert, L. D., Smart, M. L. & Taylor, J. B. (1980). Acta Cryst. B36, 131–133.

Acta Cryst. (1999). C55, 1978-1980

# Na<sub>2</sub>Ca<sub>3</sub>Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> with a simple stacking of oxygen triangular nets

Hisanori Yamane,<sup>a</sup> Hiroki Takahashi,<sup>a</sup> Takashi Kajiwara<sup>b</sup> and Masahiko Shimada<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institute for Advanced Materials Processing, Tohoku University, 2-1-1 Katahira, Sendai 980-8577, Japan, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578, Japan. E-mail: yamane@ibis.iamp.tohoku.ac.jp

(Received 24 June 1999; accepted 2 August 1999)

#### Abstract

The crystal structure of the title quaternary oxide, disodium tricalcium ditantalum nonaoxide, has a distorted simple hexagonal packing of the O atoms. The Na, Ca and Ta atoms are positioned in oxygen trigonal prisms. Two oxygen prisms containing Ta atoms constitute facesharing prisms of  $[Ta_2O_9]^{8-}$ .

#### Comment

The crystal structure of oxides has traditionally been described with closest packing of the oxide ions, such as hexagonal closest packing (ab), cubic closest packing (abc) and their combinations. The structure of the new compound Na<sub>2</sub>Ca<sub>3</sub>Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> is, however, based on a simple stacking of oxygen triangular nets with *aaa*. All other metal atoms occupy the trigonal prism sites in the sequence -Na-Ta-Ta-Na-(vacant)-Ca1-Ca2-Ca1-(vacant)- along the c axis (Table 1). As shown in Fig. 1, the triangles of O atoms twist a little and expand or shrink depending on the other atoms in the prisms. Trigonal prismatic coordinations along the c axis can be seen in detail in Fig. 2.



Fig. 1. The structure of Na<sub>2</sub>Ca<sub>3</sub>Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub>, showing (a) atoms as spheres projected along the c axis, (b) the oxygen polyhedra along the caxis, and (c) the oxygen polyhedra along the b axis.



Fig. 2. The structure of trigonal prismatic coordinations along the c axis in Na<sub>2</sub>Ca<sub>3</sub>Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub>, showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids.

Two oxygen trigonal prisms containing Ta atoms share one face and consist of  $[Ta_2O_9]^{8-}$ . The interatomic distances between Ta and O atoms are 2.133(7) Å  $(\times 3)$  for shared O atoms (Ta—O2), and 1.907(7) Å  $(\times 3)$  for unshared O atoms (Ta-O1). These values are consistent with those observed for Ta-O distances in two face-sharing  $[Ta_2O_9]^{8-}$  octahedra in Mg<sub>4</sub>Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> and Mg<sub>2</sub>NiTa<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> (Halle & Müller-Buschbaum, 1988), and Ba<sub>3</sub>SrTa<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> (Zandbergen & Ijdo, 1983), where the interatomic distances between Ta atoms in the octahedra and the shared O atoms are in the range 2.12-2.13 Å, and between Ta atoms and the unshared O atoms are in the range 1.89–1.91 Å. The Ta—Ta distance in the two face-sharing trigonal prisms is 3.0490(9)Å, which is slightly longer than the Ta—Ta distances (2.95–3.02 Å) reported in the two face-sharing octahedra.

The Na atom is situated in a highly distorted O1 trigonal prism, with Na—O1 distances of  $2.343(8)(\times 3)$ and 2.848 (8) Å ( $\times$ 3), being distant from the Ta and Na atoms in the neighbouring prisms and close to the vacant prism. The presence of Na atoms occupying the oxygen trigonal prism sites has also been reported for Ba<sub>3</sub>NaTaO<sub>6</sub> (Na—O 2.45 Å; Wehrum & Hoppe, 1992), and NaCa<sub>3</sub>IrO<sub>6</sub> and NaCa<sub>3</sub>RuO<sub>6</sub> (Na-O 2.33-2.34 Å; Claridge et al., 1997).

The Ca1 atom is located in an oxygen trigonal prism and near the vacant prism. The Ca1-O2 and Ca1-O1 distances are 2.287 (6) and 2.443 (7) Å, respectively. The Ca2 atom is coordinated by six O1 atoms at the same distance of 2.350(6) Å, which agrees well with the Ca—O distance  $[2.35 \text{ Å} (\times 6)]$  of the trigonal prism in Mg<sub>3</sub>Ca(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (Graf & Bradley, 1962).

#### **Experimental**

Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (extra pure grade, Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd), CaCO<sub>3</sub> (99.99% purity, Rare Metallic Co. Ltd) and Ta2O5 (99.99% purity, Rare Metallic Co. Ltd) were weighed to give a 3:1:1 Na-Ca-Ta atomic ratio. The powders were mixed in an agate mortar and then pressed into a pellet. The pellet was heated at 1273 K for 1 h in air on a Pt plate. After heating, the sample was cooled to 1073 K at a rate of  $3 \text{ K h}^{-1}$ . Below this temperature, the sample was cooled in the furnace by shutting off the electric power. The sample obtained consisted of two phases, Na<sub>2</sub>Ca<sub>3</sub>Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> and Na<sub>3</sub>TaO<sub>4</sub>. The extra amount of Na reacted with the Pt plate and formed Na<sub>2</sub>PtO<sub>3</sub>. Colourless transparent platelet single crystals of  $Na_2Ca_3Ta_2O_9$  were picked up from the two-phase mixture. The Na-Ca-Ta atomic ratio obtained by semi-quantitative energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDAX Kevex) on a scanning electron microscope (Hitachi X-60) was 2.0:3.1:1.8, which is close to the ideal ratio of 2:3:2. EDAX analysis did not detect any other impurity elements.

Crystal data

$Na_2Ca_3Ta_2O_9$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 672.12$	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$

#### Na<sub>2</sub>Ca<sub>3</sub>Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub>

Hexagonal R32 a = 5.6969 (6)  Å c = 23.523 (3)  Å $V = 661.15 (13) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 3 $D_x = 5.064 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ $D_m$ not measured	Cell parameters from 1199 reflections $\theta = 1.00-27.49^{\circ}$ $\mu = 26.678 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2)  K Platelet $0.13 \times 0.10 \times 0.03 \text{ mm}$ Colourless
$D_m$ not measured	Colourless

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART1000 CCD	1452 measured reflections
area-detector diffractom-	348 independent reflections
eter	$R_{\rm int} = 0.069$
$\omega$ scans	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.49^{\circ}$
Absorption correction:	$h = -7 \rightarrow 7$
analytical face-indexed	$k = -7 \rightarrow 4$
$T_{\rm min} = 0.071, T_{\rm max} = 0.512$	$l = -30 \rightarrow 27$
	Intensity decay: 0.14%

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Extinction correction:
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.021$	SHELXL97 (Sheldrick,
$wR(F^2) = 0.057$	1997)
S = 1.187	Extinction coefficient:
348 reflections	0.0025 (3)
28 parameters	Scattering factors from
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0095P)^2]$	International Tables for
+ 20.9824 <i>P</i> ]	Crystallography (Vol. C)
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	Racemic twinning:
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$	SHELXL97
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.343 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	Fractional contribution:
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -2.097 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	0.51 (6)

### Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

 $U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U^{ij} a^i a^j \mathbf{a}_i . \mathbf{a}_j.$ 

	x	у	z	$U_{eq}$
01	0.0534 (15)	0.3162 (15)	0.1040(2)	0.0149 (14)
O2	0.2617 (18)	0	0	0.0135 (19)
Та	0	0	0.064809 (17)	0.0067 (2)
Na	0	0	0.2020 (2)	0.0170 (11)
Cal	0	0	0.36845 (9)	0.0086 (5)
Ca2	0	0	1/2	0.0104 (6)

#### Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

$Ta - Ol^i (\times 3)$	1.907 (7)	Na— $O1^{v} (\times 3)$	2.848 (8)
$Ta - O2^i (\times 3)$	2.133 (7)	Ca1 $-02^{vi}$ ( $\times 3$ )	2.287 (6)
Ta—Ta <sup>ii</sup>	3.0490 (9)	Ca1—O1 <sup>vii</sup> (×3)	2.443 (7)
Ta—Na	3.227 (6)	Cal—Ca2	3.094 (2)
Ta—Ca1 <sup>iii</sup>	3.3624 (6)	Ca2—O1 <sup>viii</sup> (×6)	2.350 (6)
Na— $O1^{v}$ (×3)	2.343 (8)		
Ol—Ta—Ol <sup>i</sup>	98.6 (2)	O2 <sup>v1</sup> —Ca1—O2 <sup>x1</sup>	107.72 (9)
O1—Ta—O2 <sup>i</sup>	137.0 (2)	O2 <sup>vi</sup> —Ca1—O1 <sup>xii</sup>	62.71 (16)
Ol <sup>i</sup> —Ta—O2 <sup>i</sup>	124.3 (2)	O2 <sup>xi</sup> —Ca1—O1 <sup>xii</sup>	127.1 (3)
O1 <sup>v</sup> —Ta—O2 <sup>i</sup>	75.0 (3)	O2 <sup>xiii</sup> —Ca1—O1 <sup>xii</sup>	125.0 (2)
O2 <sup>i</sup> —Ta—O2 <sup>v</sup>	74.5 (3)	Ol <sup>xii</sup> —Cal—Ol <sup>vii</sup>	80.8 (2)
Ol <sup>iv</sup> —Na—Ol <sup>ix</sup>	112.75 (16)	Ol <sup>viii</sup> —Ca2—Ol <sup>xii</sup>	118.6 (4)
Ol <sup>iv</sup> —Na—Ol <sup>v</sup>	126.45 (17)	O1 <sup>viii</sup> Ca2O1 <sup>vii</sup>	150.6 (4)
Ol <sup>ix</sup> —Na—Ol <sup>v</sup>	70.7 (2)	O1 <sup>xu</sup> —Ca2—O1 <sup>vii</sup>	84.8 (2)
Ol <sup>x</sup> —Na—Ol <sup>v</sup>	113.8 (3)	Ol <sup>vu</sup> —Ca2—Ol <sup>xiv</sup>	80.3 (3)
O1 <sup>v</sup> —Na—O1 <sup>i</sup>	61.1 (2)		

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + y, -x, z; (ii) y, x, -z; (iii)  $x - \frac{2}{3}$ ,  $y - \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $z - \frac{1}{3}$ ; (iv)  $\frac{2}{3} + x - y$ ,  $\frac{1}{3} - y$ ,  $\frac{1}{3} - z$ ; (v) -y, x - y, z; (vi)  $\frac{2}{3} - x + y$ ,  $\frac{1}{3} - x$ ,  $\frac{1}{3} + z$ ; (vii)  $x - \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $y - \frac{2}{3}$ ,  $\frac{1}{3} + z$ ; (viii)  $\frac{1}{3} + x - y$ ,  $\frac{2}{3} - y$ ;  $\frac{2}{3} - z$ ; (ix)  $-\frac{1}{3} - x$ ,  $-\frac{2}{3} - x + y$ ,  $\frac{1}{3} - z$ ; (x)  $y - \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\frac{1}{3} + x$ ,  $\frac{1}{3} - z$ ; (xi)  $-\frac{1}{3} - y$ ,  $x - y - \frac{2}{3}$ ,  $\frac{1}{3} + z$ ; (xii)  $\frac{2}{3} - y$ ,  $\frac{1}{3} + x - y$ ,  $\frac{1}{3} + z$ ; (xiii)  $x - \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\frac{1}{3} + y$ ,  $\frac{1}{3} + z$ ; (xiv)  $y - \frac{2}{3}$ ,  $x - \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\frac{2}{3} - z$ .

© 1999 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Since the Flack parameter (Flack, 1983) was refined to about 0.4 for both configurations of the absolute structure, we used the racemic twin instruction at the final refinement of the structure parameters with *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997). The refined fractional contribution parameter was 0.51 (6).

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1997*a*). Cell refinement: *SMART*. Data reduction: *XPREP* (Bruker, 1997*b*). Program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR*92 (Altomare *et al.*, 1994). Program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97. Molecular graphics: *ATOMS* (Dowty, 1998).

We would like to thank Professor T. Ito for his encouragement and support, T. Yamaguchi for the faceindexing and analytical absorption correction, and Y. Sato for the EDAX analysis.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BR1255). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

#### References

- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C. & Guagliardi A., Burla, M. C., Polidori, G & Camalli, M. (1994). J. Appl. Cryst. 27, 435.
- Bruker (1997a). SMART. Area Detector Control and Integration Software. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (1997b). XPREP. Program for Data Preparation and Reciprocal Space Exploration. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Claridge, J. B., Layland, R. C., Adams, R. D. & Loye, H.-C. (1997).Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 623, 1131–1134.
- Dowty, E. (1998). ATOMS. Program for Displaying Atomic Structures. Version 4.1 for Macintosh. Shape Software, 521 Hidden Valley Road, Kingsport, TN 37663, USA.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Graf, D. L. & Bradley, W. F. (1962). Acta Cryst. 15, 238-242.
- Halle, G. & Müller-Buschbaum, H. K. (1988). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 562, 87-90.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Wehrum, G. & Hoppe, R. (1992). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 617, 45-52.
- Zandbergen, H. W. & Ijdo, D. J. W. (1983). Acta Cryst. C39, 829-832.

Acta Cryst. (1999). C55, 1980-1983

### An extreme case of $ZnO_6$ octahedral distortion in trizinc tris(selenite) hydrate, $Zn_3(SeO_3)_3 \cdot H_2O$

WILLIAM T. A. HARRISON

Department of Chemistry, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, Scotland. E-mail: w.harrison@abdn.ac.uk

(Received 13 July 1999; accepted 25 August 1999)

#### Abstract

Trizinc tris[trioxoselenate(IV)] hydrate,  $Zn_3(SeO_3)_3 \cdot H_2O$ , is built up from  $ZnO_6$  octahedra [ $d_{av}(Zn-O)$  =